
POINTER ARITHMETIC ARRAYS, POINTERS AND STRUCTS

Problem Solving with Computers-I

<https://ucsb-cs16-sp17.github.io/>

C++

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main() {
    cout<<"Hola Facebook!";
    return 0;
}
```




Announcements

- Midterm next week Wed (05/12)
- All material covered in labs00-lab05 (including lab05). Key topics: file IO (only those aspects covered in lab03), Pointers, arrays, pointers and structs, function call mechanics (pass by value, reference and address), arrays of structs
- All lecture material until Monday's lectures

$\left. \begin{matrix} \text{int *p1;} \\ \text{p1 = \&x;} \end{matrix} \right\} \rightarrow \text{int * p1 = \&x;}$

Review: Pointer assignment: Trace the code

```
int x=10, y=20;  
int *p1 = &x, *p2 =&y;  
p2 = p1;  
int **p3;  
p3 = &p2;  
...
```



$\&p1 = 5;$
 $\&p2 = 20$
 $\&\&p3 = 50$

Two important facts about Pointers

- 1) A pointer can only point to one type –(basic or derived) such as int, char, a struct, another pointer, etc
- 2) After declaring a pointer: `int *ptr;`
`ptr` doesn't actually point to anything yet. We can either:
 - make it point to something that already exists, or
 - allocate room in memory for something new that it will point to
 - Null check before dereferencing

```
int * p;
char * p;
Point * p;
```

```
*p = 10;
```

```
p = &x;
```


```
int *p = 0;
```

segfault → `cout << *p ;`

```
if (!p)
  return;
*p = 5;
```


Null check
 ↓
`if (p == 0) return;`

Arrays and pointers



- ar is a pointer to the first element
- ar[0] is the same as *ar
- ar[2] is the same as *(ar+2)
- Use pointers to pass arrays in functions
- Use *pointer arithmetic* to access arrays more conveniently


```
int ar[5] = {20, 30, 50, 80, 90};
cout << ar << endl;
int *p;
p = ar; // makes p point to ar[0]
```



Computer does "pointer arithmetic"

Pointer Arithmetic


```
int arr[]={50, 60, 70};  
int *p;  
p = arr;  
p = p + 1;  
*p = *p + 1;
```



Passing arrays to functions

```
int main(){  
    int arr[]={50, 60, 70};  
    int mysum;  
    mysum = sum(arr, 3);  
}
```

```
int sum(int b[], int len){  
    int result = 0;  
    for(int i = 0; i < len; i++){  
        result += *b;  
        b++;  
    }  
    return result;  
}
```




Code to demonstrate how arrays are passed to functions

int *b = arr, int len = 3
b } result += b[i];
Same as

```

void IncrementPtr(int *p){
    p++; } → p = p + 4
}
int main() {
    int arr[3] = {50, 60, 70};
    int *q = arr;
    IncrementPtr(q);
}

```



p = q

Which of the following is true after **IncrementPtr(q)** is called in the above code:

- A. 'q' points to the next element in the array with value 60
- B. 'q' points to the first element in the array with value 50

How should we implement `IncrementPtr()`, so that 'q' points to 60 when the following code executes?

```
void IncrementPtr(int **p){  
    p++;  
}
```


```
int arr[3] = {50, 60, 70};  
int *q = arr;  
IncrementPtr(&q);
```

A. `p = p + 1;`

B. `&p = &p + 1;`

C. `*p = *p + 1;` // change q via p

D. `p = &p + 1;`



Demo

- In class demo to show how you would create an array of structs, initialize them and pass the array to a function (this relates to the last problem on hw 10)

Pointer Arithmetic Question

How many of the following are invalid?

- I. pointer + integer (ptr+1)
- II. integer + pointer (1+ptr)
- III. pointer + pointer (ptr + ptr)
- IV. pointer – integer (ptr – 1)
- V. integer – pointer (1 – ptr)
- VI. pointer – pointer (ptr – ptr)
- VII. compare pointer to pointer (ptr == ptr)
- VIII. compare pointer to integer (1 == ptr)
- IX. compare pointer to 0 (ptr == 0)
- X. compare pointer to NULL (ptr == NULL)

#invalid

A: 1

B: 2

C: 3

D: 4

E: 5

Pointer Arithmetic

- What if we have an array of large structs (objects)?
 - C++ takes care of it: In reality, `ptr+1` doesn't add 1 to the memory address, but rather adds the size of the array element.
 - C++ knows the size of the thing a pointer points to – every addition or subtraction moves that many bytes: 1 byte for a char, 4 bytes for an int, etc.

Complex declarations in C/C++

How do we decipher declarations of this sort?

```
int ***arr[];
```

Read

- * as “pointer to” (always on the left of identifier)
- [] as “array of” (always to the right of identifier)
- () as “function returning” (always to the right ...)

For more info see:

http://ieng9.ucsd.edu/~cs30x/rt_lt.rule.html

Complex declarations in C/C++

Right-Left Rule

int **arr[]

Illegal combinations include:

- []() - cannot have an array of functions
- ()() - cannot have a function that returns a function
- ()[] - cannot have a function that returns an array

Step 1: Find the identifier

Step 2: Look at the symbols to the right of the identifier. Continue right until you run out of symbols *OR* hit a *right* parenthesis ")"

Step 3: Look at the symbol to the left of the identifier. If it is not one of the symbols '*', '(', '[' just say it. Otherwise, translate it into English using the table in the previous slide. Keep going left until you run out of symbols *OR* hit a *left* parenthesis "(".

Repeat steps 2 and 3 until you've formed your declaration.

Complex declarations in C/C++

```
int i;  
int *i;  
int a[10];  
int f( );  
int **p;  
int (*p)[];  
int (*fp) ( );  
int *p[];  
int af[] ( );  
int *f();  
int fa() [];  
int ff() ();  
int (**ppa) [];  
int (*apa[ ])[ ] ;
```



Next time

- Dynamic memory allocation